

Title	Document URL	Court Line	Filed Date	Citation	Summary
United States v. Cochran	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I3c9c179f240b11e4b4bafa136b480ad2/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=4&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, E.D. North Carolina, Eastern Division.	August 13, 2014	39 F. Supp. 3d 719	"Government brought action against manager and owner of residential rental properties and various entities under which properties were managed, alleging that defendants discriminated against black tenants in violation of Fair Housing Act (FHA). Defendants moved for summary judgment and for sanctions, and government moved to exclude proposed defense expert."
United States v. Hyilton	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I5130e1a35e0611e490d4edf60ce7d742/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=29&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States Court of Appeals, Second Circuit.	October 27, 2014	590 F. Appx. 13	"Interracial couple who rented home, and prospective sublessee who was African-American, brought Fair Housing Act (FHA) action against owner, her husband and his property management company for refusal to sublet based on race. The United States District Court for the District of Connecticut, Janet C. Hall, J., 944 F.Supp.2d 176, found FHA violations and awarded compensatory and punitive damages, attorney fees and injunctive relief, and defendants appealed."
United States v. Pac. Nw. Elec., Inc.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I9c921a2ded7811daa223cd6b838f54f9/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=5&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, D. Idaho.	March 21, 2003	No. CV-01-019-S-BLW, 2003 WL 24573548	"Plaintiff claims that Defendants failed to design and construct the subject properties to ensure that the public use and common use portions are readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities; that all doors are sufficiently wide to allow passage of wheelchairs; that there is an accessible route into and through the dwelling; that electrical outlets, thermostats, and other environmental controls are in accessible locations; that reinforcements in bathroom walls allow for installation of grab bars; and that kitchens and bathrooms are useable for people in wheelchairs. See Complaint at ¶ 16."
United States v. W. Peachtree Tenth Corp.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I31155f58b7111d99a6fcd806bf1638e/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=43&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit.	January 04, 1971	437 F.2d 221	"Action against operator of high rise apartment unit for alleged pattern or practice of racial discrimination in rental of housing. The United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia at Atlanta, Sidney O. Smith, Jr., Chief Judge, held that government failed to establish pattern or practice of discrimination after effective date of Fair Housing Act of 1968 and plaintiffs appealed."
United States v. Badgett	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/Ic91a6fa894d811d993e6d35cc61aab4a/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=11&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit.	October 09, 1992	976 F.2d 1176	"Action was brought alleging that apartment's policy requiring single occupancy for one bedroom apartments violated the Fair Housing Act. The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas, Stephen M. Reasoner, Chief Judge, rendered decision in favor of landlord, and appeal was taken. The Court of Appeals, Beam, Circuit Judge, held that policy discriminated on the basis of familial status in violation of the Fair Housing Act."
United States v. Northside Realty Assocs., Inc.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/Ib59ad3df909511d98e8fb00d6c6a02dd/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=17&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit.	September 04, 1975	518 F.2d 884	"Action was brought to enjoin real estate corporation and its officer from violating the Fair Housing Act. Following a remand from the Court of Appeals, 474 F.2d 1164, the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia, at Atlanta, William C. O'Kelley, J., entered judgment in favor of the United States enjoining corporation from violating the Fair Housing Act and the defendants appealed. The Court of Appeals, 501 F.2d 181, affirmed. On petition for rehearing, the Court of Appeals, Brown, Chief Judge, held, inter alia, that record justified conclusion that real estate corporation had violated Act so as to justify the enjoining of it from further violations, and that there was no error in finding that the Attorney General could have reasonable cause to believe that a person or group of persons was engaged in a pattern or practice of violating the Act."
United States v. L & H Land Corp., Inc.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I7dabebf0551a11d997e0acd5cbb90d3f/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=7&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, S. D. Florida, Miami Division.	February 05, 1976	407 F. Supp. 576	"Action was instituted by United States to obtain injunctive relief against allegedly discriminatory conduct of defendants in housing. The District Court, Mehrtens, Senior District Judge, held that statement of managing agent to tenants to effect that black persons were not permitted on premises and could not be entertained as guests constituted a violation of statutory provisions making it unlawful to make any statement with respect to rental of a dwelling which indicates any discrimination, limitation or preference based on race or color, that admission as to existence of a policy of treating blacks differently from whites satisfied "pattern or practice" requirement of establishing resistance to full enjoyment of right to equal housing opportunity, that corporate landlord and its officers were liable for discriminatory conduct of managing agent under doctrine of respondeat superior, and that an injunction would issue as appropriate relief under circumstances."

Title	Document URL	Court Line	Filed Date	Citation	Summary
United States v. Hurt	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I8ab2b5a784aa11e1be29b2facdefeebe/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=64&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit.	April 12, 2012	676 F.3d 649	"After jury verdict in favor of landlords on federal government's claim, under Fair Housing Act (FHA), that landlords engaged in pattern or practice of sex discrimination in rental of housing, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas, Brian S. Miller, J., entered final judgment for landlords and awarded them \$142,905 in attorney fees and \$16,008.51 in costs, under Equal Access to Justice Act (EAJA). Government appealed award of attorney fees."
United States ex rel. Jackson v. Racey	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I0c4262ee941d11d9bdd1cddd544ca3a4/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=218&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States Court of Appeals, Fourth Circuit.	May 07, 1997	112 F.3d 512	"Appellant Betty M. Racey appeals from the district court's order, following a bench trial, finding her guilty of violating 42 U.S.C. § 3604(c) (1994), which prohibits the making of any statement, with respect to the sale or rental of a dwelling, that indicates a racial preference or intent to discriminate based on race. On appeal, Racey contends that her statements were merely an expression of her opinion, not commercial speech, and were thus protected by the First Amendment. She also asserts that the statute is overbroad because it could apply to non-commercial speech. Finding no error, we affirm."
United States v. Starrett City Assocs.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I5b9c9e70559111d997e0acd5cbb90d3f/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=51&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, E.D. New York.	May 05, 1987	660 F. Supp. 668	"Attorney General brought action alleging violation of the Fair Housing Act by private landlords who constructed, owned, and operated apartment housing complex. The District Court, Neaher, J., held that: (1) Fair Housing Act could not be interpreted to mean that where large housing complex was concerned, black and other minority applicants who qualified could not obtain available apartments on equal basis with whites, but had to remain subject to private landlord's racial quota"
Baumgardner v. Sec'y, U.S. Dep't of Hous. & Urb. Dev. ex rel. Holley	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I1a1bce278b9811d99a6fdc806bf1638e/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=54&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States Court of Appeals, Sixth Circuit.	March 31, 1992	960 F.2d 572	"Complaint was filed with Department of Housing and Urban Development alleging denial of rental accommodation based on gender under Fair Housing Act. Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) determined that landlord was guilty as charged of intentional discrimination, assessed \$5,000 in actual damages and additional \$4,000 as civil penalty as well as extensive injunctive relief. Landlord appealed."
United States v. Koch	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I2ecf8fb26fc711d98778bd0185d69771/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=44&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, D. Nebraska.	December 22, 2004	352 F. Supp. 2d 970	"Defendant was charged with housing discrimination in violation of Fair Housing Act (FHA), stemming from alleged harassment of female tenants. Following trial, defendant moved for judgment as matter of law."
United States v. Aspen Square Mgmt. Co., Inc.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I190fbc7560411d9a99c85a9e6023ffa/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=132&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, N.D. Illinois, Eastern Division.	March 03, 1993	817 F. Supp. 707	"Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) brought action alleging that apartment complex owners violated Fair Housing Act. On defendants' motion to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction, the District Court, Norgle, J., held that district court lacked jurisdiction over Fair Housing Act claim based on HUD's failure to meet 100-day limitation period of Act or to timely provide written explanation for its reasons for doing so."
United States v. Autumn Ridge Condo. Ass'n, Inc.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I7eb294ddb74611de8bf6cd8525c41437/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=102&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, N.D. Indiana, Hammond Division.	October 05, 2009	265 F.R.D. 323	
United States v. Barnason	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/Iff80afde565811e1968efb95426d9c9c/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=138&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, S.D. New York.	February 10, 2012	852 F. Supp. 2d 367	
United States v. City of Black Jack	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I19f1ce76551211d9a99c85a9e6023ffa/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=267&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, E.D. Missouri, Eastern Division.	March 20, 1974	372 F. Supp. 319	

Title	Document URL	Court Line	Filed Date	Citation	Summary
United States v. City of Hayward	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I19b2b6bd970a11d9bc61beeb995be672/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=173&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit.	September 19, 1994	36 F.3d 832	
United States v. Dawn Proprs., Inc.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/lc62b9ca1765d11e4b4bafa136b480ad2/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=199&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States District Court, S.D. Mississippi, Southern Division.	November 26, 2014	64 F. Supp.3d 955	
United States v. DiMucci	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/l41657ad4971411d9bdd1cfd544ca3a4/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=124&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States Court of Appeals, Seventh Circuit.	July 13, 1989	879 F.2d 1488	
United States v. DiMucci	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I99fa3858559911d9a99c85a9e6023ffa/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=288&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States District Court, N.D. Illinois, Eastern Division.	October 09, 1987	No. 84 C 8632, 1987 WL 18372	
United States v. E. River Hous. Corp.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/l8b01d3ecc1de11e490d4edf60ce7d742/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=125&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States District Court, S.D. New York.	March 02, 2015	90 F. Supp. 3d 118	
United States v. Fischer	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/l5d3c27898bbf11dc8200d0063168b01f/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=224&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States District Court, D. Minnesota.	October 23, 2007	No. 05-cv-1819 (JNE/JJG), 2007 WL 3243866	
United States v. Fountainbleau Apartments, L.P.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/l41851be872f511de8bf6cd8525c41437/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=372&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States District Court, E.D. Tennessee.	July 13, 2009	No. 1:06-cv-104, 2009 WL 2105991	
United States v. Freer	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/lebf49479562a11d9bf30d7fd51b6bd4/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=170&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States District Court, W.D. New York.	July 07, 1994	864 F. Supp. 324	
Castillo Condo. Ass'n v. U.S. Dep't of Hous. & Urb. Dev.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/le253aa8410f311e6b4bafa136b480ad2/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=160&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States Court of Appeals, First Circuit.	May 02, 2016	821 F.3d 92	"Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) filed a charge of discrimination against condominium association under the Fair Housing Act after it forced resident to vacate and sell his unit based on the fact that he was keeping a dog on the premises in violation of "no pets" bylaw. Following ALJ's recommended decision that association had not violated the Act, the Secretary set aside the ALJ's recommended decision, finding that resident suffered from a cognizable disability. The Secretary subsequently awarded emotional distress damages and assessed a civil penalty. Association filed a petition for judicial review, and Secretary countered by cross-petitioning for enforcement of his order. Petitions were consolidated for review."

Title	Document URL	Court Line	Filed Date	Citation	Summary
United States v. Cal. Mobile Home Park Mgmt. Co.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I76b0114a941311d9bdd1cfd544ca3a4/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=221&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit.	February 27, 1997	107 F.3d 1374	"Department of Justice brought action alleging that owner and manager of mobile home lot violated Fair Housing Act by failing to make reasonable accommodations in housing. Following District Court's dismissal of action and denial of tenant's motion to intervene, the Court of Appeals, 29 F.3d 1413, reversed and remanded. On remand, the United States District Court for the Central District of California, Manuel L. Real, J., entered judgment for owner and manager. Tenant appealed. The Court of Appeals, Brunetti, Circuit Judge, held that: (1) treatment of tenant as substituted party rather than intervening party on remand was error, as tenant had right to intervene; (2) answer to intervenor complaint filed by tenant, rather than answer to original complaint filed by United States, was "last pleading" directed to jury triable issue, for purposes of determining whether right to demand jury trial was waived; (3) tenant's participation in bench trial did not constitute waiver of her right to jury trial; and (4) error resulting from denial of tenant's right to demand jury trial was harmless. Affirmed."
United States v. Cal. Mobile Home Park Mgmt. Co.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I8d731df0970611d9bdd1cfd544ca3a4/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=97&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit.	July 18, 1994	29 F.3d 1413	"Department of Justice brought action alleging that owner and manager of mobile home lot violated Fair Housing Act by failing to make reasonable accommodations in housing. The United States District Court for the Central District of California, Manuel L. Real, J., dismissed action, and denied tenant's motion to intervene. Tenant appealed. The Court of Appeals, Frank A. Kaufman, Senior District Judge, sitting by designation, held that: (1) under Fair Housing Act, tenant was entitled to intervene as of right and to substitute herself for United States on appeal, and (2) provision of Fair Housing Amendments Act (FHAA) imposing affirmative duty upon landlords reasonably to accommodate needs of handicapped persons may require landlords to assume reasonable financial burdens in accommodating handicapped residents. Reversed and remanded."
United States v. City of Birmingham	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I29dba307556811d997e0acd5cbb90d3f/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=107&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States District Court, E.D. Michigan, Southern Division.	May 03, 1982	538 F. Supp. 819	"Department of Justice filed suit alleging that city had prevented development of racially integrated low-income senior citizen and family housing in violation of the Fair Housing Act. The District Court, DeMascio, J., held that: (1) evidence established that city's interference with plan to construct low-income family housing was, in part, racially motivated; (2) Government did not have to prove that city commission itself intended to discriminate on basis of race in order to establish that city acted with racially discriminatory intent; it was sufficient to show that decision-making body acted for the sole purpose of effectuating desires of private citizens known to be motivated in part by racial considerations; (3) where advisory referendum was conducted, court could examine the motivation of the electorate in order to determine whether the city acted out of racially discriminatory motives; (4) city that takes steps to exclude black people violates the Fair Housing Act regardless of whether it does so out of desire to protect property values and not out of any animus against black people generally; and (5) where the preponderance of the evidence established that, but for the actions of the city, proposal would have become reality, city violated the Act by acting to block the proposal, in part because of its desire to exclude blacks from the city."
United States v. City of Parma	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I51d35d68929111d9a707f4371c9c34f0/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=60&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States Court of Appeals, Sixth Circuit.	October 14, 1981	661 F.2d 562	"Fair Housing Act action was brought against city. The United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio, Frank J. Battisti, Chief Judge, 494 F.Supp. 1049, found that city had violated the Act and, 504 F.Supp. 913, entered remedial order from which city appealed."
United States v. Balistreri	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I7f9f3436950711d9bc61beebb95be672/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=15&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States Court of Appeals, Seventh Circuit.	November 24, 1992	981 F.2d 916	"Federal government brought action against apartment complex owner and owner's rental agent for violation of Fair Housing Act (FHA) provisions prohibiting racial discrimination in terms or conditions for housing rental and racially motivated misrepresentations about housing availability."

Title	Document URL	Court Line	Filed Date	Citation	Summary
United States v. City of Parma	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I6e1f730f555b11d9bf30d7fdf51b6bd4/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=63&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States District Court, N.D. Ohio, Eastern Division.	June 05, 1980	494 F. Supp. 1049	"Federal Government brought action against city alleging violation of Fair Housing Act. The District Court, Battisti, Chief Judge, held that city's policy of excluding blacks from taking up residence in any substantial numbers was manifested by a series of actions by city officials which were done with the purpose and had the effect of perpetuating the city's virtually all white character."
United States v. Bowen Prop. Mgmt.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I86a9ffaf0d0011da9bcc85e7f8e214cd/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=75&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States District Court, E.D. Washington.	August 15, 2005	No. CV-03-0250-EFS, 2005 WL 1950018	Federal government brought action against management company, alleged that defendant had violated the FHA by discriminating on the basis of national origin.
United States v. Town of Oyster Bay	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I0db51d1c7f9711e490d4edf60ce7d742/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=72&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States District Court, E.D. New York.	December 05, 2014	66 F. Supp. 3d 285	"Federal government brought action against town and its supervisor, alleging that the town discriminated against African Americans through its use of affordable housing programs in violation of the Fair Housing Act (FHA). Defendants moved to stay the proceedings."
United States v. Scott	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/Ic98cfa9b55ed11d9b130d7fdf51b6bd4/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=10&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States District Court, D. Kansas.	March 24, 1992	788 F. Supp. 1555	"Federal government, on behalf of vendors of residential property, brought action against subdivision residents for violations of Fair Housing Act. Residents moved to dismiss, and government moved for partial summary judgment on issue of liability. The District Court, Theis, J., held that: (1) Fair Housing Act provision creating 100-day period for Secretary of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) to investigate alleged discriminatory housing practice was not a jurisdictional prerequisite or statute of limitations, and action thus would not be dismissed on basis of Secretary's failure to complete investigation within that period, and (2) residents' actions in interfering with sale because of handicaps of prospective occupants constituted violation of Fair Housing Act."
United States v. Big D Enters., Inc.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/Iecb8e90c94ab11d993e6d35cc61aab4a/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=100&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit.	July 09, 1999	184 F.3d 924	"Government brought action under Fair Housing Act (FHA) against apartment complex's owner and management company on behalf of prospective tenants whose rental applications had been denied allegedly based on race. After jury awarded prospective tenants \$1,000 in compensatory damages and \$100,000 in punitive damages, owner and management company moved for judgment as a matter of law, new trial, or remittitur of punitive damages award. The United States District Court for the Western District of Arkansas, H. Franklin Waters, J., 11 F.Supp.2d 1047, denied motion. Owner and management company appealed. The Court of Appeals, Hansen, Circuit Judge, held that: (1) evidence established practice or pattern of race-based discrimination; (2) owner and management company were not entitled to mixed motive instruction; (3) evidence of net worth of both owner and management company was admissible for purposes of calculating punitive damages; and (4) punitive damages award did not violate due process. Affirmed."
United States v. S. Mgmt. Corp.	<a "rchitem&contextdata='%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0"' &="" href="https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I2ebc25c594c911d993e6d35cc61aab4a/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=27&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=Sea">https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I2ebc25c594c911d993e6d35cc61aab4a/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=27&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=Sea" & "rchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States Court of Appeals, Fourth Circuit.	February 03, 1992	955 F.2d 914	"Government brought action under Fair Housing Act alleging that manager of apartment complexes illegally discriminated against handicapped persons in refusing to rent to provider of drug rehabilitation services. The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, Albert V. Bryan, Jr., Senior District Judge, entered judgment against defendant and defendant appealed."
United States v. Veal	<a "rchitem&contextdata='%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0"' &="" href="https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I0a232512ae3711d9bc61beeb95be672/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=39&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=Sea">https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I0a232512ae3711d9bc61beeb95be672/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=39&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=Sea" & "rchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States District Court, W.D. Missouri, Western Division.	August 24, 2004	365 F. Supp. 2d 1034	"Government brought Fair Housing Act action against landlords, alleging a pattern or practice of housing discrimination on the basis of sex. After default judgment was entered in favor of government, defendants moved for new trial, relief from judgment, or remittitur."

Title	Document URL	Court Line	Filed Date	Citation	Summary
United States v. Harrison	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/lc994981c53f211d997e0acd5cbb90d3f/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=9&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbit1.0	United States District Court, D. Massachusetts.	February 26, 2002	188 F. Supp. 2d 77	"Government brought Fair Housing Act suit against rental agency, landlord, and others, seeking injunctive relief, civil penalties and damages. After government entered into consent decree with rental agency and other defendants, landlord moved for summary judgment on claims against him. The District Court, Gorton, J., held that: (1) claims were not barred by limitations; (2) neither estoppel nor laches applied; and (3) consent decree with other defendants did not present res judicata bar to proceeding against landlord."
United States v. Gumbaylav	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/le8319716c56f11df8228ac372eb82649/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=1&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbit1.0	United States District Court, M.D. Alabama, Northern Division.	40430	757 F. Supp. 2d 1142	"Government brought suit under Fair Housing Act (FHA), alleging that rental agent engaged in pattern of unlawful discrimination on basis of sex in connection with rental of other defendants' properties. The District Court, Mark E. Fuller, Chief Judge, 2009 WL 605275, denied rental agent's motion to dismiss, 2009 WL 3188397, denied government's motion for entry of default as to seven defendants, and 2009 WL 4067801, denied rental agent's motion for summary judgment. Apartment building owner who employed rental agent as property manager moved for summary judgment."
United States v. Bahr	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/l508b21fd8ad811e1be29b2facdefeebe/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=77&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbit1.0	United States District Court, M.D. Alabama, Northern Division.	April 19, 2012	856 F. Supp. 2d 1225	"Government brought suit under Fair Housing Act (FHA), alleging that rental agent engaged in pattern of unlawful sex discrimination in connection with rental of other defendants' properties. After one of property owners chose not to participate in action, court granted default judgment against owner. Owner moved to alter, amend or vacate judgment or, in alternative, obtain relief from final judgment. Government moved to supplement record and to seal proceedings."
United States v. Fountainbleau Apartments L.P.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/l9c15fe53437311dd9876f446780b7bdc/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=79&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbit1.0	United States District Court, E.D. Tennessee.	June 19, 2008	566 F. Supp. 2d 726	"Government sued apartment complex owners and managers under the Fair Housing Act (FHA), asserting that they discriminated against housing applicants with children. Government moved for partial summary judgment as to liability."
United States v. Matusoff Rental Co.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/la9c0bc91e43211d9a707f4371c9c34f0/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=6&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbit1.0	United States District Court, S.D. Ohio, Western Division.	March 30, 2007	494 F. Supp. 2d 740	"Government sued owner of apartment complex, alleging discrimination against prospective tenants on basis of race and familial status in violation of Fair Housing Act (FHA). The District Court, Rice, J., granted partial summary judgment for government on issue of owner's pattern or practice of discrimination on basis of familial status."
United States v. Tropic Seas, Inc.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/l7161dfd0563711d9a99c85a9e6023ffa/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=13&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbit1.0	United States District Court, D. Hawai'i.	April 12, 1995	887 F. Supp. 1347	"In action by the United States against cooperative apartment complex and the members of its board of directors under the Fair Housing Act, based on alleged discrimination against apartment owners on the basis of familial status by enforcement of occupancy limitation, in which the apartment owners had intervened as plaintiffs, interveners moved for partial summary judgment, one defendant moved for abstention or stay, various defendants moved for summary judgment, and the United States filed motions to strike."
United States v. Northside Realty Assocs., Inc.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/l2115a6e3905411d9a707f4371c9c34f0/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=71&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbit1.0	United States Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit.	September 23, 1974	501 F.2d 181	"In an action by the Justice Department to enjoin a real estate corporation and its executive vice president from violating the Fair Housing Act, on remand after a prior appeal, 474 F.2d 1164, the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia at Atlanta, William C. O'Kelley, J., found that the United States was entitled to relief. Defendants appealed."
United States v. Mitchell	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/l6619cc17917811d98e8fb00d6c6a02dd/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=14&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbit1.0	United States Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit.	September 21, 1978	580 F.2d 789	"In an action under the Fair Housing Act, the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas, Patrick E. Higginbotham, J., granted requested injunction but denied Government's request for damages for benefit of injured parties, and Government appealed and defendants cross-appealed. The Court of Appeals, Godbold, Circuit Judge, held that: (1) steering of black tenants to particular section of apartment complex constituted violation of Fair Housing Act; (2) Attorney General was not entitled to procure damages on behalf of tenants injured by defendants' conduct, and (3) even though Government lost both refusal to let allegation and plea for damages, where Government prevailed on issue whether defendants had steered blacks to particular section of apartment complex, district court did not abuse its discretion in awarding costs to Government."

Title	Document URL	Court Line	Filed Date	Citation	Summary
United States v. Hyton	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/lfe37d84ebe5011e2a555d241dae65084/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=2&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, D. Connecticut.	May 08, 2013	944 F. Supp. 2d 176	"Interracial couple who rented home, and prospective sublessee who was African-American brought Fair Housing Act (FHA) action against landlord and property management company who refused to sublet to woman based on her race."
Corey v. Secretary, U.S. Dept. of Housing & Urban Development ex rel. Walker	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/lc5a560b3e56a11e2a98ec867961a22de/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=123&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States Court of Appeals, Fourth Circuit.	July 05, 2013	719 F.3d 322	"Landlord filed petition for review of an order of the Secretary of the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), awarding damages to prospective tenant and requiring landlord to pay civil monetary penalty for intentional and egregious violations of the Fair Housing Act (FHA). The Secretary filed cross-application for enforcement of the order."
Secretary, U.S. Dept. of Housing and Urban Development, on Behalf of Herron v. Blackwell	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/l6aa4c076972011d9a707f4371c9c34f0/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=52&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States Court of Appeals, Eleventh Circuit.	August 09, 1990	908 F.2d 864	"On application for enforcement of order the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), the Court of Appeals, Hatchett, Circuit Judge, held that vendor's proffered reason for refusing to close with black purchasers was pretextual."
Nelson v. U.S. Dep't of Hous. & Urb. Dev.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/l7ec744861a3911deb77d9846f86fae5c/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=169&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit.	March 26, 2009	320 F. App'x 635	"Owners filed petition for review of remedial order of Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) requiring them to pay damages, pay penalties, and make retrofits to apartment complex found to be in violation of Fair Housing Act (FHA). Secretary of HUD cross-petitioned for enforcement of order."
United States v. Curlee	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/l633928f855f111d9a99c85a9e6023ffa/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=16&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, C.D. California.	January 29, 1992	792 F. Supp. 699	"Suit was filed alleging that mobile home park owner discriminated against plaintiffs under Fair Housing Act. Mobile park owner moved to dismiss on ground that HUD's investigation of alleged discriminatory housing practice was not completed within 100-day time period under Act. The District Court, Rea, J., held that 100-day period under Fair Housing Act for HUD's investigation of discriminatory housing practice was not jurisdictional limitation."
United States v. Columbus Country Club	<a &"rchitem&contextdata='%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0"' href="https://www.westlaw.com/Document/leb80619855f811d9a99c85a9e6023ffa/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=21&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=Sea">https://www.westlaw.com/Document/leb80619855f811d9a99c85a9e6023ffa/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=21&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=Sea"&"rchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, E.D. Pennsylvania.	October 21, 1992	Civ. A. No. 87-8164, 1992 WL 310294	"The defendant ran afoul of the Fair Housing Act because only annual members were permitted to build and maintain bungalows on the property, and annual membership was limited to persons in good standing in the Roman Catholic faith. Under the terms of the consent decree, the defendant would amend its bylaws by making annual membership available without regard to religious affiliation (or race, gender, or familial status)."
United States v. Loranffy Care Ctr.	<a &"rchitem&contextdata='%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0"' href="https://www.westlaw.com/Document/l0747c025567711d997e0acd5cb90d3f/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=22&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=Sea">https://www.westlaw.com/Document/l0747c025567711d997e0acd5cb90d3f/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=22&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=Sea"&"rchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, N.D. Ohio, Eastern Division.	March 24, 1998	999 F. Supp. 1037	
United States v. Bertels	<a &"rchitem&contextdata='%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0"' href="https://www.westlaw.com/Document/le6196460564b11d9bf30d7fd51b6bd4/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=40&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=Sea">https://www.westlaw.com/Document/le6196460564b11d9bf30d7fd51b6bd4/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=40&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=Sea"&"rchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, D. Kansas.	February 08, 1996	Civ. A. No. 95-2127-JWL, 1996 WL 99759	"The Government bases its claim on the Fair Housing Act which makes it unlawful to refuse to sell or rent property because of race, color, religion, sex, familial status, or national origin. 42 U.S.C. § 3604. Defendants argue in their Motion for Summary Judgment that Ms. McCoy lacked the capacity to enter into a lease agreement because of her age, and therefore could not have been injured by a discriminatory housing practice under the Fair Housing Act."
U.S. Dep't of Hous. & Urb. Dev. ex rel. Pantoja v. Simpson	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/l34c6d710918311d9a707f4371c9c34f0/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=307&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States Court of Appeals, Sixth Circuit.	May 10, 1995	54 F.3d 777	"The Secretary, United States Department of Housing and Urban Development petitions for enforcement pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 3612(j) of the September 9, 1994, decision under the Fair Housing Act (the "Act"). Respondents have filed an opposition to the petition for enforcement and request oral argument. However, because respondents did not file a petition for review of the decision within forty-five days, the Act provides that "the administrative law judge's findings of fact and order shall be conclusive" in connection with the petition for enforcement. 42 U.S.C. § 3612(l). In addition, the Act provides that where a petition for enforcement is filed in the absence of a petition for review, the clerk of the court of appeals "shall forthwith enter a decree enforcing the order." 42 U.S.C. § 3612(n). We conclude, therefore, that the Secretary is entitled to enforcement of the decision."

Title	Document URL	Court Line	Filed Date	Citation	Summary
United States v. Hous. Auth. of City of Chickasaw	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/113fc17f555d11d9bf30d7fd51b6bd4/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=81&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, S.D. Alabama, Southern Division.	March 07, 1980	504 F. Supp. 716	"The United States Attorney General brought suit against city housing authority pursuant to Fair Housing Act. The District Court, Hand, J., held that: (1) city housing authority, through adoption and application of 'citizenship requirement,' providing that only citizens of the city were eligible for housing in housing authority's units, violated Fair Housing Act, and (2) injunction and other relief was appropriate."
United States v. Eimhurst Nat'l Bank Tr. No. 1362	<a "rchitem&contextdata='%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0"' &="" href="https://www.westlaw.com/Document/120606e9855e611d9bf30d7fd51b6bd4/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=49&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=Sea">https://www.westlaw.com/Document/120606e9855e611d9bf30d7fd51b6bd4/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=49&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=Sea" & "rchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, N.D. Illinois, Eastern Division.	December 13, 1991	No. 91 C 4286, 1991 WL 274447	"The United States brought this action alleging that defendants engaged in a pattern or practice of discrimination based on race or color in the rental of dwellings in violation of the Fair Housing Act ("FHA"), 42 U.S.C. § 3601 et seq. Defendants have moved to dismiss the complaint on the grounds that it fails to satisfy the pleading requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8."
United States v. Gritz Bros. P'ship	<a "rchitem&contextdata='%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0"' &="" href="https://www.westlaw.com/Document/16ff2d360561f11d9bf30d7fd51b6bd4/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=45&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=Sea">https://www.westlaw.com/Document/16ff2d360561f11d9bf30d7fd51b6bd4/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=45&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=Sea" & "rchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, E.D. Wisconsin.	April 28, 1994	155 F.R.D. 639	"The United States commenced this action on March 22, 1993, against Gritz Brothers Partnership d/b/a Gritzmacher Realty, Dennis Gritzmacher and Harold Gritzmacher [collectively, "the defendants"], to enforce Title VIII of the Civil Rights Act of 1968 (the "Fair Housing Act"), as amended by the Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988, 42 U.S.C. § 3601 et seq."
United States v. Oak Manor Apartments	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/1afdfdbba567b11d9bf30d7fd51b6bd4/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=55&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, W.D. Arkansas, Fort Smith Division.	June 24, 1998	11 F. Supp. 2d 1047	"The United States filed housing discrimination action under the Fair Housing Act against owner and management company for apartment building. After entry of judgment on jury's verdict in favor of the government, owner and management company filed motion for judgment as a matter of law, remittitur of punitive damages, or new trial."
United States v. City of Hayward	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/163bc0207564811d997e0acd5cb90d3f/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=152&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, N.D. California.	February 07, 1996	Civ. A. No. C-91-4187 FMS, 1996 WL 61114	"The United States filed this action against the City of Hayward, California, on November 26, 1991, pursuant to Section 814(b) of the Fair Housing Act, 42 U.S.C. § 3614(b). The United States' Complaint alleged that the City of Hayward had discriminated on the basis of familial status in violation of the Act, 42 U.S.C. § 3617, by interfering with S.G. Borello & Sons, Inc. ("Borello") because of its efforts to comply with the Act."
United States v. Cashman	<a "rchitem&contextdata='%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0"' &="" href="https://www.westlaw.com/Document/1ce49eac3560b11d997e0acd5cb90d3f/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=25&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=Sea">https://www.westlaw.com/Document/1ce49eac3560b11d997e0acd5cb90d3f/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=25&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=Sea" & "rchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, N.D. California.	July 06, 1993	No. C-92-3964 WHO, 1993 WL 266709	"The United States filed this action on behalf of Bemice Forslund, pursuant to Section 812(o) of the Fair Housing Act, as amended, ("the Act"), 42 U.S.C. § 3612(o), alleging that Eugene Cashman, Val Cago, Inc., Melvin Fuller and Rita Fuller, (the Defendants), discriminated against Ms. Forslund on the basis of familial status, in violation of the Act."
United States v. St. Bernard Parish	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/1e98dd54da211e1b66bbd5332e2d275/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=70&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, E.D. Louisiana.	July 26, 2012	Civ. A. No. 12-0321, 2012 WL 3062748	"The United States of America brought this action against St. Bernard Parish, Louisiana, to enforce Title VIII of the Civil Rights Act of 1968, 42 U.S.C. §§ 3601-3631, as amended (known as the "Fair Housing Act," or the "FHA"). (Rec.Doc.1 ¶ 1). The United States specifically brings this claim under 42 U.S.C. § 3614(a), which authorizes the Attorney General to "commence a civil action in any appropriate United States District Court" when the Attorney general 'has reasonable cause to believe that any person or group of persons is engaged in a pattern or practice of resistance to the full enjoyment of any of the rights granted by [the Fair Housing Act] ... or that any group of persons has been denied any of [these] rights' 42 U.S.C. 3614(a)."
United States v. Aspen Square Mgmt. Co., Inc.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/1720d2d10560d11d997e0acd5cb90d3f/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=141&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, N.D. Illinois, Eastern Division.	June 29, 1993	No. 92 C 7579, 1993 WL 268352	"This action was commenced by the United States of America on November 17, 1992, on behalf of Al Razik and the South Suburban Housing Center pursuant to Section 812(o) of the Fair Housing Act, 42 U.S.C. § 3612(o). In the Complaint filed in this action, the United States alleged that the defendants enacted and enforced an unreasonable occupancy policy at these complexes that operated to preclude persons from residing at the complexes on the grounds of familial status, in violation of Section 804(a) of the Fair Housing Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 3604(a). The United States further alleged that the defendants refused to rent or negotiate for the rental of an apartment to Mr. Razik because of his familial status, in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 3604.1 The defendants categorically deny any violation of the Fair Housing Act as alleged in the complaint, and enter into this decree solely to avoid further costly and disruptive litigation."

Title	Document URL	Court Line	Filed Date	Citation	Summary
United States v. S-2 Proprs., Inc.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I751e94d481e111e39ac8bab74931929c/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=66&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, W.D. Pennsylvania.	January 17, 2014	No. 13cv1421, 2014 WL 201086	"This case is brought by the United States of America ("Plaintiff") under the Fair Housing Act, Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1968, as amended by the Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988, against Defendants S-2 Properties, Inc. and Bill Turzai ("Turzai"). Doc. No. 1. In essence, Plaintiff alleges that Defendants discriminated against potential tenants based upon race in violation of the Fair Housing Act."
United States v. Biswas	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I54c46c25083a11e09d9cae30585baa87/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=361&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, M.D. Alabama, Northern Division.	December 08, 2010	No. 2:09-cv-683, 2010 WL 5093545	"This cause is before the Court on Plaintiff United States of America's ("the Government") Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. # 25); Defendant Chandi Biswas's ("Biswas") Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. # 27); and Defendant Frankie Roberson's ("Roberson") Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. # 29), all filed July 23, 2010. Defendant Kenneth Scott ("Scott"), who is unrepresented by counsel in this matter, did not file a Motion for Summary Judgment. For the reasons set out below, these Motions are each DENIED."
United States v. Cannon	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I19075877560411d9a99c85a9e6023ffa/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=117&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, D. South Carolina, Greenville Division.	March 16, 1992	C.A. No. 6:91-951-3K, 1992 WL 467799	"This is an action pursuant to the Fair Housing Act and the Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988. The United States filed this action on behalf of and for the benefit of Rena Ellis. The sole acts of discrimination which are claimed are alleged to have occurred on March 12, 1988. There is no claim of pattern or practice of discrimination."
United States v. Weiss	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I1f45e640a561c11d997e0acd5cbb90d3f/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=37&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, D. Nevada.	March 15, 1994	847 F.Supp. 819	"Title VIII action was brought against apartment building owners alleging pattern and practice violation of Fair Housing Act and denial of rights to group of persons based on familial status, due to policy limiting occupancy of apartments. On cross motions for summary judgment, the District Court, Lowe, Senior District Judge, sitting by designation, held that: (1) engineer's report as to capacity of hot water system was relevant even if report was prepared for litigation purposes"
United States v. Habersham Proprs., Inc.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I1f814296541f11d997e0acd5cbb90d3f/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=80&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, N.D. Georgia, Atlanta Division.	October 06, 2003	319 F.Supp.2d 1366	"United States and complainant, as intervenor, sued corporate owner of apartment complex, complex's property management company, and complex's on-site community manager, alleging violations of Fair Housing Act (FHA). Defendants moved for summary judgment."
United States v. Henry	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I6fa63031735c11dcb979ebb82436536d/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=36&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, E.D. Virginia, Norfolk Division.	October 01, 2007	519 F.Supp.2d 618	"United States brought a civil action against owner and site manager of residential apartment complex alleging violation of the Fair Housing Act (FHA). Apartment residents moved to intervene."
United States v. Gorman Towers Apartments	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I0a13d91b562211d997e0acd5cbb90d3f/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=34&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, W.D. Arkansas, Fort Smith Division.	July 15, 1994	857 F.Supp. 1335	"United States brought action against apartment complex, corporation which owned apartments, apartment managers, and directors of corporation, alleging discriminatory housing practices in violation of Fair Housing Act. Defendants moved to dismiss."
United States v. Taigen & Sons, Inc.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I1d7395f74541811d997e0acd5cbb90d3f/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=3&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, D. Idaho.	September 29, 2003	303 F.Supp.2d 1129	"United States brought action against builder and architect, alleging that their construction and design of an apartment community violated the accessibility requirements of the Fair Housing Act (FHA) and Title III of the American with Disabilities Act (ADA)."
United States v. Quality Built Const., Inc.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I11ddd68d0541b11d9b17ee4cd604a702/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=61&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, E.D. North Carolina, Eastern Division.	November 10, 2003	309 F.Supp.2d 767	"United States brought action against corporation, its principal, and architect alleging violations of Fair Housing Act (FHA) for failure to make housing accessible to handicapped persons."
United States v. Shanrie Co., Inc.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I30b078818cbe11deb08de1b7506ad85b/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=7&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, S.D. Illinois.	August 17, 2009	669 F.Supp.2d 932	"United States brought action against designers and builders of two apartment complexes, alleging that defendants violated Fair Housing Act (FHA) by failing to design and construct complexes in compliance with FHA requirements for accessibility for persons with disabilities. Government moved for summary judgment on issue of liability."

Title	Document URL	Court Line	Filed Date	Citation	Summary
United States v. District of Columbia	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I2644ce1df4df11dcb6a3a099756c05b7/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=8&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631.363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, District of Columbia.	March 14, 2008	538 F.Supp.2d 211	"United States brought action against District of Columbia, alleging that a number of the District's zoning regulations violated the Fair Housing Act (FHA) by imposing more stringent requirements on housing for the disabled, and that the District violated the FHA by denying requests for reasonable accommodation from operator of proposed group homes. The United States moved for judgment as a matter of law."
United States v. Cochran	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I3a005e2d9c9511e4a795ac035416da91/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=197&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631.363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, E.D. North Carolina, Eastern Division.	January 14, 2015	79 F.Supp.3d 578	"United States brought action against individual and corporate landlords and the manager of their residential rental properties, alleging violations of the Fair Housing Act (FHA). Defendants moved in limine to exclude certain arguments and contentions relating to defendants' asserted joint and several liability for manager's purportedly wrongful conduct."
United States v. Charlottesville Redevelopment & Hous. Auth.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I23f24bef55ba11d9bf30d7df51b6bd4/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=110&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631.363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, W.D. Virginia, Charlottesville Division.	July 24, 1989	718 F.Supp. 461	"United States brought action against redevelopment and housing authority to challenge tenant assignment plan as race-conscious. On cross motions for summary judgment, the District Court, Michael, J., held that plan was race-conscious and violated Fair Housing Act. Plaintiff's motion granted."
United States v. Borough of Audubon	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I7c452acd55f011d9a99c85a9e6023ffa/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=62&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631.363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, D. New Jersey.	September 09, 1991	797 F.Supp. 353	"United States brought action alleging that municipality discriminated on basis of handicap in violation of Fair Housing Act. The District Court, Gerry, Chief Judge, held that: (1) occupants of residential group home for recovering substance abusers were "handicapped" within meaning of provisions of Fair Housing Act forbidding discrimination on basis of handicap"
United States v. Sea Winds of Marco, Inc.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I721f9842563c11d9a99c85a9e6023ffa/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=24&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631.363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=Sea%20rhlItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, M.D. Florida, Fort Myers Division. .	July 07, 1995	893 F.Supp. 1051	"United States brought action under Fair Housing Act (FHA) against corporate and individual defendants, alleging discriminatory housing practices against Hispanic tenants of condominium unit."
United States v. City of Parma	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I32432149555d11d9bf30d7df51b6bd4/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=82&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631.363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, N.D. Ohio, Eastern Division.	December 04, 1980	504 F.Supp. 913	"United States brought civil rights action alleging that city was engaging in practices violative of the Fair Housing Act. The District Court, Battisti, Chief Judge, held that: (1) in view of city's intentional violations of Fair Housing Act, city would be enjoined from engaging in other action which would violate Fair Housing Act"
United States v. Forest Dale, Inc.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I18f026f9560411d9a99c85a9e6023ffa/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=50&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631.363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=Sea%20rhlItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, N.D. Texas, Dallas Division.	March 05, 1993	818 F.Supp. 954	"United States brought handicap discrimination suit against owner and managers of public housing complex for the elderly, and applicant whose deceased husband had been disabled intervened. On motions to dismiss or for summary judgment, the District Court, Sanders, Chief Judge, held that: (1) Fair Housing Act did not permit owner and managers of public housing for elderly to exclude elderly applicants who were also disabled"
United States v. Garden Homes Mgmt., Corp.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/Ic3e12d6453e911d9a99c85a9e6023ffa/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=41&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631.363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=Sea%20rhlItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, D. New Jersey.	August 10, 2001	156 F.Supp.2d 413	"United States brought housing discrimination action against owners of apartment complexes, complexes' manager, and rental agent for properties. Defendants moved for summary judgment. The District Court, Liffand, J., held that: (1) genuine issue of material fact as to whether defendants engaged in a pattern or practice of discrimination on basis of race precluded summary judgment"
United States v. City of Hayward	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I8aa8a28255fb11d997e0acd5cbb90d3f/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=147&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631.363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, N.D. California.	November 02, 1992	805 F.Supp. 810	"United States brought suit against city for violation of Fair Housing Act (FHA) arising from city's interpretation of mobile home park owner's attempt to open park to families with children as reduction in services under rent control ordinance. Cross motions for summary judgment were made. The District Court, Fem M. Smith, J., held that: (1) city's action violated FHA because it penalized decision to provide housing to families with children; (2) only owners or manager of mobile home park could claim exemption under FHA for older persons housing; (3) city was not entitled to arbitral or litigant immunity; and (4) neither tenants, arbitrator, or county were indispensable parties. Motion by United States granted."

Title	Document URL	Court Line	Filed Date	Citation	Summary
United States v. Lepore	<a &"rhlitem&contextdata='%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0"' href="https://www.westlaw.com/Document/lb6bab400560311d9a99c85a9e6023ffa/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=31&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=Sea">https://www.westlaw.com/Document/lb6bab400560311d9a99c85a9e6023ffa/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=31&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=Sea"&"rhlItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States District Court, M.D. Pennsylvania.	December 23, 1991	816 F.Supp. 1011	"United States brought suit against mobile home park operators alleging discrimination based upon familial status. The District Court, Rambo, J., held that: (1) two-person occupancy limitation violated Fair Housing Act prohibition against familial status discrimination, and (2) two-person restriction was not justified by inadequate and outdated septic system."
United States v. Reece	<a &"rhlitem&contextdata='%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0"' href="https://www.westlaw.com/Document/lf90813a1552411d9bf30d7fd51b6bd4/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=35&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=Sea">https://www.westlaw.com/Document/lf90813a1552411d9bf30d7fd51b6bd4/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=35&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=Sea"&"rhlItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States District Court, D. Montana, Billings Division.	April 10, 1978	457 F.Supp. 43	"United States filed a complaint under the Fair Housing Act seeking mandatory relief to enjoin owners of rental property from discriminatory practices and to compel them to perform conciliation agreements. On motion of the United States for partial summary judgment on issues of sex discrimination and enforcement of conciliation agreement, the District Court, Battin, J., held that: (1) fact that owners of rental property were not named as "defendants" in administrative fair housing complaint filed by the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development did not constitute a defect in HUD's jurisdiction to negotiate a conciliation agreement, where both owners received notice of the complaint"
United States v. Branella	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/l3f104a4b566811d9a99c85a9e6023ffa/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=126&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States District Court, D. New Jersey.	August 07, 1997	972 F.Supp. 294	"United States sued apartment owners claiming that they violated Fair Housing Act (FHA) by discriminating against prospective tenant on basis of familial status. Owners filed motion for summary judgment. The District Court, Brotman, J., held that: (1) unsworn certifications filed by plaintiffs which failed to acknowledge penalty of perjury did not satisfy requirements for admission"
United States v. Grishman	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/lb6937cf1560311d9a99c85a9e6023ffa/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=106&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States District Court, D. Maine.	April 07, 1993	818 F.Supp. 21	"Government moved for partial summary judgment on issue of liability in its action against landlord to enforce Fair Housing Act. The District Court, Hornby, J., held that landlord engaged in familial status discrimination by refusing to rent to family, in part due to perception that the natural and manmade features of property would pose a serious and real danger to children and that there were neither other children in the neighborhood nor proper rooms in house to distract children from those dangers or from doing destruction to the landlord's prized possessions. Motion granted."
United States v. Gumbaytay	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/l46942ffb06cb11e1a06efc94f34cdeb/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=104&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States District Court, M.D. Alabama, Northern Division.	January 19, 2011	276 F.R.D. 671	"Government brought suit under Fair Housing Act (FHA), alleging that rental agent had engaged in a pattern of unlawful discrimination, on basis of sex, in connection with rental of other defendants' properties. Government moved for protective order and to seal records, and rental agent moved for determination of whether government could assert privilege, under common interest doctrine, to protect identity of victims in depositions and other discovery."
United States v. Hadlock	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/lde6cf390d8911df9988d233d23fe599/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=254&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States District Court, D. Oregon, Medford Division.	January 27, 2010	No. CV 08-3074-CL, 2010 WL 331772	"Plaintiff United States of America and Plaintiff-Intervenor Fair Housing of Oregon ("Plaintiffs") bring this action against Defendant Virginia Ruth Hadlock ("Defendant") for violation of the federal Fair Housing Act, Title VIII of the Civil Rights Act of 1968, as amended by the Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988 ("FHAA"), and the Oregon Fair Housing Act. Plaintiffs also allege unlawful trade practices and negligence under Oregon law. (Compl. in Intervention ("Compl.") ¶ 1.) They seek monetary, declaratory and injunctive relief."
United States v. Henshaw Bros., Inc.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/l89aebc93551311d9a99c85a9e6023ffa/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=92&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States District Court, E.D. Virginia, Richmond Division.	June 13, 1974	401 F.Supp. 399	
United States v. Hialeah Hous. Auth.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/lja72b2c1e54d011e0af6af9916f973d19/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=251&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States Court of Appeals, Eleventh Circuit.	March 22, 2011	418 Fed.Appx. 872	Federal government sued Hialeah Housing Authority (HHA), for violating Fair Housing Act (FHA) by purportedly failing to provide tenant with reasonable accommodation for alleged disability. The United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida, Alan S. Gold, J., 2010 WL 1540046, granted HHA summary judgment. Government appealed.

Title	Document URL	Court Line	Filed Date	Citation	Summary
United States v. Hillhaven Corp.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/Ie9a7b94b566111d9a99c85a9e6023ffa/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=99&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States District Court, D. Utah, Central Division.	February 07, 1997	960 F.Supp. 259	Government brought action against owners and directors of retirement community, alleging that they unlawfully discriminated against handicapped tenant and refused to make reasonable accommodation for her, in violation of Fair Housing Act (FHA). On defendants' motion for summary judgment, the District Court, Sam, J., held that: (1) government failed to establish disparate impact discrimination under FHA regarding safety guidelines restricting use of motorized carts in common areas, and (2) defendants made reasonable accommodations for tenant. Motion granted.
United States v. Hover	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/Ie6ee7867562f11d997e0acd5cbb90d3f/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=192&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States District Court, N.D. California.	February 08, 1995	No. C 93-20061 JW, 1995 WL 55379	The issue in this case is whether the defendants, who are owners of a mobile home park, violated the Fair Housing Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 3601–3619 when they used a numerical formula of "one person per bedroom plus one" to refuse to allow a family of six to occupy a three-bedroom mobile home. The Court finds that although the policy is facially neutral, it violates the Fair Housing Act by discrimination on the basis of familial status.
United States v. Inc. VIII. of Island Park	https://1.next.westlaw.com/Document/Ic96d3d9955ed11d9bf30d7fd51b6bd4/View/FullText.html?originationContext=document&contextData=(sc.DocLink)&cacheScope=undefined&transitionType=DocumentItem&searchWithinQuery=Fair&chunkSize=XXL&docSource=39423bf8355540d8add1f53c032c83b6&needToInjectTerms=False&searchWithinHandle=i0ad60659000016c2f967d12b67fac57	United States District Court, E.D. New York.	April 24, 1992	791 F.Supp. 354 (E.D.N.Y.1992)	Government brought action against a village and various local government officials asserting various causes of action arising from the administration of a Community Development Block Grant Program and a § 235 housing program and from alleged misuse of Housing and Urban Development funds in those programs. Upon the defendants' motion for summary judgment, the District Court, Glasser, J., held that statute of limitations on various causes of action was not tolled by fraudulent concealment. Motion granted in part and denied in part.
United States v. Indigo Invs., LLC	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I516ea06cf6cc11df80558336ea473530/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=387&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States District Court, S.D. Mississippi, Southern Division.	November 15, 2010	No. 1:09CV376-LG-RHW, 2010 WL 4718897	Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) brought action alleging that apartment complex owners violated Fair Housing Act. On defendants' motion to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction, the District Court, Norgle, J., held that district court lacked jurisdiction over Fair Housing Act claim based on HUD's failure to meet 100-day limitation period of Act or to timely provide written explanation for its reasons for doing so.
United States v. Jamestown Center-In-The-Grove Apartments	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I10bc4192910411d993e6d35cc61aab4a/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=128&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit.	August 15, 1977	557 F.2d 1079	"United States brought action against landlord, its president and its resident manager for violation of Fair Housing Act. The United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida at Miami, William O. Mehrrens, J., granted injunction and Government appealed, alleging that the relief was not comprehensive enough. The Court of Appeals, Godbold, Circuit Judge, held that injunction against future violations of the Act and order that defendants instruct employees of landlord in the provisions of the Act and of the court order and to open rental records to governmental inspection for one year was not comprehensive enough, and court should expand affirmative relief provisions of its injunction to include provisions parallel to various provisions of 1971 Court of Appeals opinion. Affirmed in part, reversed in part and remanded."
United States v. John E.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I2145a61d93b111ddb6a3a099756c05b7/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=259&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States District Court, W.D. Washington, at Tacoma.	September 30, 2008	No. C07-5218FDB, 2008 WL 4454098	"The United States brought this cause of action to enforce the Fair Housing Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 3601, et seq., on behalf of William B. Freeman Price (no relation to defendants), who moves to intervene in this case. Plaintiff alleges that the defendants refused to grant William Price's requests for reasonable accommodation and retaliated against him for exercising rights protected by the Fair Housing Act. Defendants contend that William Price did not disclose adequate information about his medical condition, need for accommodation, made unreasonable demands, and the apartment community where he lived. This case was filed May 1, 2007, and the Joint Status Report was filed August 16, 2007."

Title	Document URL	Court Line	Filed Date	Citation	Summary
United States v. Johns	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/114bdb172920811d9bc61beeb95be672/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=89&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbl1.0	United States Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit.	April 17, 1980	615 F.2d 672	"Defendants were variously convicted in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama, Frank H. McFadden, Chief Judge, of intimidating and interfering with persons exercising federally protected rights, of conspiring to interfere or intimidate exercise of such rights, of criminal violation of Fair Housing Act, and of conspiracy to violate the Act. The Court of Appeals held that: (1) shooting into residence to discourage both interracial living arrangements and interracial dating established that defendants intended, by their conduct, to interfere, in violation of statute, with exercise of rights of the attacked individuals and others to live where they wished regardless of their race or color; (2) where defendants, by attacking NAACP leaders, intended forcibly to discourage efforts by NAACP to secure better employment and housing opportunities for blacks and to discourage its efforts to insure appropriate distribution of government revenues to beneficiaries of various programs, defendants were shown to have violated provision of Civil Rights Act of 1968 proscribing intimidating and interfering with persons exercising federally protected rights; and (3) it was not abuse of discretion to overrule defendants' motions for mistrial made following receipt of information that alternate juror had learned that witness had been killed. Affirmed."
United States v. Katz	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/1ffc617be905f11e0a8a2938374af9660/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=98&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbl1.0	United States District Court, S.D. New York.	June 02, 2011	No. 10 Civ. 3335, 2011 WL 2175787	"Defendant Stanley Katz ("Katz" or the "Defendant") has moved pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56 to dismiss the complaint of the United States (the "United States" or the "Plaintiff") and the complaint of intervenors Carol Engle ("Engle"), Virginia Moncada ("Moncada"), Stacie Edwards-Melchor ("Edwards-Melchor"), Kimberly Smith ("Smith") and Amy Martlett ("Martlett") (collectively, the "Intervenor"). Based on the facts and conclusions set forth below, the motion is denied."
United States v. Keck	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/133a7c9d555eb11d997e0acd5cbb90d3f/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=179&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbl1.0	United States District Court, W.D. Washington.	November 15, 1990	Civ. A. No. C89-1644 C, 1990 WL 357064	"The United States filed this action, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 3612(o), on behalf of Timothy J. and Karen L. Burke alleging that defendants had discriminated against them on the basis of familial status in violation of the amended Fair Housing Act. 42 U.S.C. § 3601 et. seq. The government sought relief in the form of monetary damages for the Burkes and an injunction against further discrimination. Jack B. Keck, d/b/a Circle K Mobile Home Park, and Pauline Marker, manager of the park, were named as defendants."
United States v. Koch	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/1b47dc29b02d211dbaaf9821ce89a3430/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=318&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbl1.0	United States District Court, D. Nebraska.	June 20, 2006	No. 8:03CV406, 2006 WL 1720489	"Now before me is Lisa Carroll's "Motion to Intervene as a Party Plaintiff," filing 150. Since the Fair Housing Act grants Ms. Carroll the right to intervene in this action, see 42 U.S.C. § 3614(e), I shall grant her motion"
United States v. Luebke	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/1a0f159eafdf911df80558336ea473530/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=162&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbl1.0	United States District Court, E.D. Wisconsin.	November 24, 2010	757 F.Supp.2d 828	"Disabled tenant brought complaint against property management company and its employee, seeking to enforce provisions of Fair Housing Act (FHA). Following a charge brought by Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) against company, the United States brought suit against company and employee. Employee moved to dismiss for failure to state a claim."
United States v. Marsten Apartments, Inc.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/14c8d6fc3566a11d9a99c85a9e6023ffa/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=328&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbl1.0	United States District Court, E.D. Michigan, Southern Division.	June 16, 1997	175 F.R.D. 257	"United States brought housing discrimination action against landlords alleging a pattern or practice of discrimination on basis of race, color, and familial status at apartment complex. Government filed motion for leave to file second amended complaint to add claims relating to incidents at two other apartment complexes. The District Court, Komives, United States Magistrate Judge, held that: (1) government's additional claims were not barred by limitations provisions of Fair Housing Act section governing claims for pattern or practice of discrimination; (2) additional claims were not subject to Michigan's three-year limitations period; (3) amended complaint stated proper claim of pattern and practice of discrimination within three apartment complexes to permit amendment; and (4) landlords failed to establish that they would suffer prejudice by amended complaint."

Title	Document URL	Court Line	Filed Date	Citation	Summary
United States v. Matusoff Rental Co.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I1716b2c353e511d9a99c85a9e6023ffa/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=153&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, S.D. Ohio, Western Division.	September 24, 2001	204 F.R.D. 396	"Government brought action under the Fair Housing Act against alleged owner of apartment complex, owners' alleged managing agent, and alleged current or former employees of owner, alleging discrimination against prospective tenants on the basis of their race and familial status. On government's motions for expenses, for a single trial, and to compel discovery, the District Court, Rice, Chief Judge, held that: (1) government was not entitled to award of expenses for alleged owner's failure to complete pre-judgment debtor's exam; (2) government was entitled to discover information relating to the financial condition of alleged owner in advance of trial and without making a prima facie showing that it was entitled to recover such damages; and (3) court would order bifurcated trial on issues of liability and damages, but with same jury and with damages trial to commence immediately following completion of liability phase."
United States v. Melody Lakes Country Club Ests.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/lc5b06896562d11d997e0acd5cbb90d3f/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=260&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, E.D. Pennsylvania.	December 15, 1994	Civ. A. No. 94-1219, 1994 WL 708218	"In this action the government sued defendants Melody Lakes Country Club Estates ("Melody Lakes"), a trailer park, and Delores Isenhardt, one of its employees, for violation of the 1988 amendments to the Fair Housing Act (the "Act"), 42 U.S.C. §§ 3601-3614, for discrimination in the trailer park's rental policies against families with minor children. After a bench trial, the court entered findings of fact and conclusions of law that Melody Lakes had violated the Act by imposing a monthly surcharge on children where the number of persons per trailer exceeded two."
United States v. Montagne Dev., Inc.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/la27fb00ee86311e3b86bd602cb8781fa/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=87&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, D. Oregon.	March 10, 2014	No. 3:11-cv-01191-PK, 2014 WL 2334209	"The matter before the court is plaintiff-intervenor Fair Housing Council of Oregon's ("FHCO") motion for attorneys' fees (# 110). For the reasons set forth below, the FHCO's motion should be granted in part and denied in part and the district court should award the FHCO attorneys' fees in the amount of \$47,009.79."
United States v. Nally	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I5f15757a562911d9a99c85a9e6023ffa/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=148&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, N.D. California.	October 06, 1994	867 F.Supp. 1446	"The United States brought action under the Fair Housing Act (FHA) against landlords and managers of trailer park on behalf of tenants who alleged that defendants discriminated against them on basis of familial status. The District Court, Patel, J., held that: (1) Department of Housing and Urban Development's (HUD) mailing of letters informing defendants of its inability to meet 100-day investigation and determination deadline satisfied statute's notification requirement; (2) 100-day notification requirement was neither jurisdictional bar nor statute of limitations; and (3) HUD's delay past 100-day limit did not substantially prejudice defendants."
United States v. Page Props., Inc.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I14bcd6f94ab11d9a707f4371c9c34f0/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=88&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit.	July 06, 1999	198 F.3d 252	"The United States appeals the district court's refusal to enter civil penalties against Page Properties, Inc. (Page) and Mary Joyce for violations of the Fair Housing Act, 42 U.S.C. § 3614. We affirm."
United States v. Peterson	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I5e673fc24b7011e08ac6a0e111d7a898/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=207&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, E.D. Michigan, Southern Division.	March 03, 2011	No. 09-10333, 2011 WL 824602	"On August 6, 2010, a jury found the Defendant, Glen E. Johnson, civilly liable under the Fair Housing Act ("FHA"), 42 U.S.C. §§ 3601-3631, for engaging in a pattern or practice of sexually harassing female tenants or denying protected rights as identified by the Federal Housing Administration to a group of persons. The jury also declared the Defendants, Ronald D. Peterson and First Pitch Properties, LLC, to be legally responsible for the actions of Johnson as their agent. Acting upon these conclusions, the jury rendered a verdict in the total sum of \$115,000 as compensatory and punitive damages to the six women who had been harmed by the Defendants' misconduct."

Title	Document URL	Court Line	Filed Date	Citation	Summary
United States v. Plaza Mobile Ests.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I0427d3c4540c11d9a99c85a9e6023ffa/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=84&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, C.D. California, Western Division.	July 01, 2003	273 F.Supp.2d 1084	"United States brought action under Fair Housing Act (FHA) against current and former owner of mobile home parks and park managers, seeking declaration that parks' rules and regulations restricted or denied access to common facilities or areas based on age in violation of FHA and injunction prohibiting future publication or enforcement of discriminatory rules at parks still owned by owner. United States and plaintiff-intervenor moved for partial summary judgment. The District Court, Takasugi, Senior District Judge, held that: (1) parks' age-restrictive rules supported prima facie case of familial status discrimination under FHA; (2) preambles to park rules identifying parks as being for adults established illegal steering; (3) park rules' absolute prohibitions violated FHA; (4) park rules' adult supervision requirements violated FHA; (5) park rules' hours-of-access restrictions violated FHA; and (6) equitable estoppel did not apply to bar claims challenging park rules revised pursuant to past conciliation agreements."
United States v. Port Liberte Condo I Ass'n	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I8b02554073bd11da9cfd9de91273d56/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=374&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, D. New Jersey.	December 21, 2005	No. Civ. 04-5699(DRD), 2005 WL 3500801	"Plaintiff, United States of America, filed a complaint on behalf of Paul Rock, claiming that his condominium association, Defendant Port Liberte Condo I Association ("the Association"), and the Association's property manager, Defendant Stanley Richter, unlawfully discriminated against him by denying him an adequate handicap parking space in violation of the Fair Housing Act ("FHA"). Mr. Rock initially filed his complaint with the United States department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD"). Pursuant to the requirements of 42 U.S.C. §§ 3610(a)-(b) the Secretary of HUD conducted and completed an investigation of the complaint, attempted conciliation without success, and prepared a final investigative report."
United States v. Presidio Invs., Ltd.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I07ab5d7196fb11d9bdd1cfd544ca3a4/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=120&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit.	September 10, 1993	4 F.3d 805	"Attorney General brought action against landlord on behalf of tenant for sex discrimination. The United States District Court for the District of Arizona, Alfredo C. Marquez, J., granted summary judgment for landlord, Attorney General appealed and then moved to dismiss appeal, and tenant filed motion to intervene. The Court of Appeals, Trott, Circuit Judge, granted tenant's motion to intervene, and held that 1988 amendments to Fair Housing Act applied retroactively."
United States v. Prestonwood Props., Inc.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I0cd121b2568d11d997e0acd5cbb90d3f/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=233&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, N.D. Texas.	July 28, 1999	No. 3:99-CV-0495-R, 1999 WL 552654	"Plaintiff the United States of America ("United States") asserts claims against Defendants Prestonwood Properties, Inc., Stillmeadow Property Management, Inc., Kevin Layne Hinds, Ronald D. Hinds, and Leslie Hinds pursuant to the Fair Housing Act, Title VIII of the Civil Rights Act of 1968, as amended by the Fair Housing Act Amendments of 1988, 42 U.S.C.A. §§ 3601-3619 (1994 & Supp.1999), for housing discrimination on the basis of sex. Now before this Court is Defendants' Motion to Transfer Venue, filed June 23, 1999. For the reasons stated below, Defendants' motion is DENIED."
United States v. Quality Built Constr.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I1d548712967111d9a707f4371c9c34f0/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=90&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, E.D. North Carolina., Western Division.	January 05, 2005	358 F.Supp.2d 487	"United States brought action to enforce Fair Housing Act (FHA) against builder and related defendants, alleging failure to design and construct housing projects so as to make them accessible to persons with disabilities. After issue of liability had been determined, parties filed discovery motions pertaining to issue of remedies."
United States v. Rent Am., Corp.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/Icf45210655c611d9bf30d7fd51b6bd4/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=287&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States District Court, S.D. Florida.	April 04, 1990	734 F.Supp. 474	"The United States filed an action under the Fair Housing Act alleging that owners and operators of residential apartment complexes had engaged in a pattern or practice of rental discrimination against persons on the basis of race or color. On defendants' motion to strike, the District Court, Paine, J., held that: (1) Fair Housing Amendments Act provision expressly authorizing monetary relief including damages and civil penalties could be applied retroactively to discrimination in housing which was alleged to have occurred prior to the effective date of the Act but which was unlawful at the time it occurred, and (2) monetary damages included punitive damages and damages for emotional distress."
United States v. Rent-A-Homes Sys.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I065eba4391c011d993e6d35cc61aabd4a/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=145&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cbt1.0	United States Court of Appeals, Seventh Circuit.	August 06, 1979	602 F.2d 795	"In a suit brought by Attorney General to enforce Fair Housing Act, the United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois, J. Waldo Ackerman, J., entered order of dismissal, and United States appealed. The Court of Appeals, Sprecher, Circuit Judge, held that district court would not award compensatory monetary damages to the victims of illegal discrimination."

Title	Document URL	Court Line	Filed Date	Citation	Summary
United States v. Ruth	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I72d762d9567911d9a99c85a9e6023ffa/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=29&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States District Court, N.D. Ohio, Eastern Division.	March 31, 2014	179 F.R.D. 69	"In civil rights action against city housing authority, the United States moved for approval of its intended contact a former director of the authority. The District Court, Fitzsimmons, United States Magistrate Judge, held that ex parte interview by plaintiff of defendant's former employee was not barred by attorney-client privilege, absent evidence that former employee had become a trial consultant for defendant by participating in the formulation or discussion of litigation tactics or strategy for the lawsuit."
United States v. Schay	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I1d5744b255cf11d9bf30d7df51b6bd4/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=329&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States District Court, E.D. Arkansas.	September 17, 1990	746 F. Supp. 877	"Black man sued rental agent and property owner under 42 U.S.C.A. § 1982 and the Fair Housing Act, alleging that defendants discriminated against him because of his race by refusing to lease or rent to him certain real property. Following a jury verdict for defendants, plaintiff moved for a new trial. The District Court, H. Franklin Waters, Chief Judge, held that: (1) a new trial cannot be granted unless it would be proper to grant a judgment n.o.v., and (2) the court could not completely disregard testimony of two defense witnesses even though it questioned their credibility."
United States v. Schuylkill Tp.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I803a919d55d411d997e0acd5cbb90d3f/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=122&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States District Court, E.D. Pennsylvania.	January 02, 1991	Civ. A. No. 90-2165, 1991 WL 437	"Defendant, Schuylkill Township, has moved this court to reconsider and to vacate an Order dated November 19, 1990, granting the United States' Motion for Summary Judgment. For the reasons stated below, the defendant's motion shall be denied."
United States v. Space Hunters, Inc.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I8e78d56b542f11d997e0acd5cbb90d3f/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=85&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States District Court, S.D. New York.	November 23, 2004	No. 00 Civ. 1781(RCC), 2004 WL 2674608	"The United States of America ("Government") sued Space Hunters, Inc. and John McDermott ("Defendants") for, among other things, violation of the Fair Housing Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 3601 et seq. Following a five-day trial, the jury found for the Government. Now before the Court are Defendants' motion for judgment as a matter of law or for a new trial, and the Government's motion for injunctive relief. For the reasons stated below, the Defendants' motion is DENIED, and the Government's motion is GRANTED."
United States v. Space Hunters, Inc.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/Icaf18f5b514611daaea49302b5f61a35/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=186&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States Court of Appeals, Second Circuit.	November 09, 2005	429 F.3d 416	"The United States sued housing information vendor alleging violations of the Fair Housing Act (FHA), alleging discrimination in the housing market based on race and disability. The United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, Richard Conway Casey, J., 2004 WL 2674608, struck claim for punitive damages, dismissed six of the seven FHA claims, and following a jury verdict, entered judgment for government on one claim and denied company's motion for judgment as a matter of law. The parties cross-appealed."
United States v. Starrett City Assocs.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/Iffb62dc8957211d9a7074371c9c34f0/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=94&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States Court of Appeals, Second Circuit.	March 01, 1988	840 F.2d 1096	"Attorney General brought action alleging violation of the Fair Housing Act by private landlords who constructed, owned and operated apartment housing complex. The United States District Court, for the Eastern District of New York, 660 F.Supp. 668, Edward R. Neaher, J., granted summary judgment in favor of Government and enjoined use of racial quotas by apartment complex. On appeal, the Court of Appeals, Miner, Circuit Judge, held that: (1) regardless of whether complex was clothed with state authority, racial ceiling quotas were unlawful under Fair Housing Act; (2) white flight phenomena could not be used as basis for denying minority applicants same rights white applicants enjoyed with respect to obtaining apartments; and (3) admittedly deferential treatment of Negro and Hispanic applicants from white applicants violated Fair Housing Act, regardless of landlord's motivation."
United States v. Towers	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I0a12527c562211d997e0acd5cbb90d3f/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=225&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States District Court, E.D. Louisiana.	July 15, 1994	Civ. A. No. 93-4260, 1994 WL 382610	"Defendants Joseph A. Towers and Dixie A. Towers (hereinafter "Towers") filed a Motion for Summary Judgment. Plaintiff United States of America filed "Counter-Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Counterclaims." Both motions were submitted without oral argument. Having considered the briefs, the applicable law and the record, the Court denies defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment and grants the United States' motion to dismiss counterclaims."
United States v. Town of Colorado City	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/Icdc6e73715e011e590d4edf60ce7d742/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=127&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States District Court, D. Arizona.	June 17, 2015	No. 3:12-cv-8123-HRH, 2015 WL 3774315	"Plaintiff is the United States of America. Defendants are the Town of Colorado City, Arizona; the City of Hildale, Utah; Twin City Power (TCP); and Twin City Water Authority, Inc. (TCWA)." "Plaintiff moves for partial summary judgment. This motion is opposed. Defendants move for partial summary judgment. These motions are opposed. Oral argument was requested and has been heard."

Title	Document URL	Court Line	Filed Date	Citation	Summary
United States v. Townhomes of Kings Lake HOA, Inc.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I8d0a7843865211e2bae99fc449e7cd17/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=355&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States District Court, M.D. Florida, Tampa Division.	March 05, 2013	No. 8:12-cv-2298-T-33TGW, 2013 WL 807152	"The Government accuses Townhomes of Kings Lake HOA, Inc. and its former management company, Vanguard Management Group, Inc., of housing discrimination in violation of federal law. Kings Lake HOA and Vanguard filed two joint Motions seeking dismissal of the Government's lawsuit on the basis that the Government failed to join Defendants' former counsel, Daniel Pilka, Esq., as a defendant. Kings Lake HOA and Vanguard also assert the alternative request that the Court join Mr. Pilka as a defendant. Upon review of Rule 19, Fed.R.Civ.P., and other governing law, the Court denies Defendants' joint Motions."
United States v. Village of Palatine	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/Idd7ec24970a11d9bc61beebb95be672/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=121&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States Court of Appeals, Seventh Circuit.	October 11, 1994	37 F.3d 1230	"Fair Housing Act action was brought against village by group home for recovering substance abusers. The United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Marvin E. Aspen, J., issued preliminary injunction against village, and village appealed. The Court of Appeals, Cummings, Circuit Judge, held that, where home's sole argument was that village failed to make reasonable accommodation under Act, village had to be afforded opportunity to make such accommodation pursuant to its own lawful procedures, unless it was clear that result was foredoomed, before home would have ripe claim."
United States v. Village of Palatine	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I35078ee1561511d9bf30d7df51b6bd4/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=277&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States District Court, N.D. Illinois, Eastern Division.	November 09, 1993	No. 93 C 2154, 1993 WL 462848	"Plaintiff moves for injunctive relief pursuant to the "prompt judicial action" provisions of the Fair Housing Act to prevent eviction of residents of Oxford House, a group home for recovering alcoholics and substance abusers, by the Village of Palatine in order to permit the Department of Housing and Urban Development to complete its investigation of the housing discrimination complaint filed on behalf of the residents against the Village. The court referred this motion to Magistrate Judge Joan B. Gottschall. Magistrate Judge Gottschall conducted a hearing on the motion and filed her Report and Recommendation on September 28, 1993. She recommended that the motion be granted. Both plaintiff and defendants filed written objections. Oxford House filed an amicus brief in support of the Magistrate's Report and Recommendation."
United States v. Warwick Mobile Homes Ests., Inc.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I125a2503910211d98e8fb00d6c6a02dd/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=103&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States Court of Appeals, Fourth Circuit.	June 13, 1977	558 F.2d 194	"Action was brought under Fair Housing Act to enjoin alleged racial discrimination by mobile home park in the renting of lots. On remand, 537 F.2d 1148, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, Richard B. Kellam, Chief Judge, entered injunctive relief, and the Government appealed. The Court of Appeals, Glen M. Williams, District Judge, sitting by designation, held that: (1) primary purpose of an injunction is to prevent future violations of the Act; (2) consistent and extreme forms of discrimination are significant factors in determining likelihood of future violations and, thus, corresponding need for injunctive relief and (3) in view of change in policy and limited nature of past violations district court properly worded injunction to prevent recurrence of violations and to eliminate vestiges of discrimination while avoiding undue burden on defendant and did not abuse its discretion in failing to impose extensive program of advertising, reporting and record keeping."
United States v. Westside Bldg. Co.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I31041897551011d997e0acd5ccb90d3f/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=226&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States District Court, C.D. California.	July 03, 1973	382 F. Supp. 148	"Action by United States against corporations engaged in the construction and management of apartment buildings, alleging racial discrimination in housing. The District Court, Hauk, J., held that consent decree would be entered enjoining defendants from, inter alia, discriminating against any person or group of persons on account of race, color, religion, or national origin in any aspect of the sale or rental of dwellings, and enjoining defendants from interfering with any person in the exercise or enjoyment of the right to equal housing opportunity."
United States v. WHPC-DWR, LLC	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/Iffa4d0c47c29311e191598982704508d1/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=324&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States Court of Appeals, Seventh Circuit.	June 29, 2012	491 F. App'x 733	"United States sued owner and managers of apartment building on behalf of disabled tenant, alleging violations of Fair Housing Act (FHA). Tenant intervened as plaintiff. After government settled its claims, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin, J.P. Stadtmueller, J., 2011 WL 6817921, granted summary judgment for defendants with respect to tenant. Tenant appealed."

Title	Document URL	Court Line	Filed Date	Citation	Summary
United States v. Youritan Const. Co.	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I05ba188b909111d993e6d35cc61aab4a/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=133&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit.	January 14, 1975	509 F.2d 623	"The United States brought an action against a construction company to enforce the Fair Housing Act. The United States District Court for the Northern District of California, Albert C. Wollenberg, J., 370 F.Supp. 643, rendered judgment enjoining violations of the Act and requiring, inter alia, compliance with the equal employment provisions of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, and defendants appealed. The Court of Appeals held that where the complaint did not charge violation of the Equal Employment Opportunity Act and no finding was made that that Act had been violated, the portion of the injunction ordering compliance with the Act was improper and should be stricken."
United States v. Beaudet	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/Ia000999a542411d9bf30d7fd51b6bd4/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=400&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States District Court, D. Minnesota.	July 19, 2004	No. Civ. 03-1132JRTSM, 2004 WL 1635853	"The United States ("plaintiff") filed this action against David R. Beaudet ("defendant"), alleging that defendant violated the Fair Housing Act by discriminating against and harassing female tenants at properties defendant owned and managed. Plaintiff contends that defendant's conduct included making unwanted verbal sexual advances; unwanted sexual touching; conditioning the terms and conditions of tenancy on the granting of sexual favors; entering the apartments without notice or permission; and threatening to and then taking adverse action against tenants when they refused or objected to the sexual advances. Plaintiff seeks both compensatory and punitive damages on behalf of the victims."
United States ex rel. Smith v. Hobbs	https://www.westlaw.com/Document/I23d80c14568911d9a99c85a9e6023ffa/View/FullText.html?listSource=Search&list=CASE&rank=108&sessionScopeId=852591c901b6b04911b0c69145e9a60cfb9b2631363347481b6aeac83571c355&originationContext=Search%20Result&transitionType=SearchItem&contextData=%28sc.Default%29&VR=3.0&RS=cblt1.0	United States District Court, S.D. West Virginia.	April 16, 1999	44 F. Supp. 2d 788	"African-American plaintiffs moved for permanent injunction in Fair Housing Act case. The District Court, Haden, Chief Judge, held that African-American couple was entitled to permanent injunction to prevent further violations of the Fair Housing Act by neighbors who attempted to prevent the couple from moving onto their property or to intimidate them into leaving their property since there was a cognizable danger of recurrent violations."